

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION

MATTHEW STEIN, )  
                        )  
Plaintiff,         )  
                        ) CIVIL ACTION  
v.                    )  
                        ) FILE No. \_\_\_\_\_  
CANDLER PARK        )  
VENTURES, LLC        )  
                        )  
Defendant.          )

**COMPLAINT**

COMES NOW, MATTHEW STEIN, by and through the undersigned counsel, and files this, his Complaint against Defendant CANDLER PARK VENTURES, LLC pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.* (“ADA”) and the ADA’s Accessibility Guidelines, 28 C.F.R. Part 36 (“ADAAG”). In support thereof, Plaintiff respectfully shows this Court as follows:

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. This Court has original jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1333 for Plaintiff’s claims pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.*, based upon Defendant’s failure to remove physical barriers to access and violations of Title III of the ADA.

2. Venue is proper in the federal District Court for the Northern District

of Georgia, Atlanta Division.

### **PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff MATTHEW STEIN (hereinafter “Plaintiff”) is, and has been at all times relevant to the instant matter, a natural person residing in Decatur, Georgia (Dekalb County).

4. Plaintiff is a paraplegic and is disabled as defined by the ADA..

5. Plaintiff is required to traverse in a wheelchair and is substantially limited in performing one or more major life activities, including but not limited to: walking, standing, grabbing, grasping and/or pinching.

6. Plaintiff cannot walk and uses a wheelchair for mobility purposes.

7. Defendant CANDLER PARK VENTURES, LLC (hereinafter “Defendant”) is a Georgia limited liability company that transacts business in the State of Georgia and within this judicial district.

8. Defendant may be properly served with process via its registered agent for service, to wit: Dirk Botterbusch, 35 Swann Ridge, Chattahoochee Hills, Georgia, 30268.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

9. On multiple occasions, including, but not limited to, June 8, 2021, Plaintiff was a customer at “Candler Park Market,” a business located at 1642

McLendon Avenue, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30307.

10. Defendant is the owner (or co-owner) of the three adjoining parcels of real property and improvements that are the subject of this action, and upon which Candler Park Market operates. (The structures and improvements situated upon said parcels of real property shall be referenced herein as the “Facility,” and said parcels of real property shall be collectively referenced herein as the “Property”).

11. Plaintiff lives approximately one (1) mile from the Facility and Property.

12. Plaintiff’s access to the business(es) located at 1642 McLendon Avenue, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30307 (DeKalb County Property Appraiser’s parcel numbers 15 239 02 087, 15 239 02 088, and 15 239 02 090), and/or full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, foods, drinks, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein were denied and/or limited because of his disabilities, and he will be denied and/or limited in the future unless and until Defendant is compelled to remove the physical barriers to access and correct the ADA violations that exist at the Facility and Property, including those set forth in this Complaint.

13. Plaintiff has visited the Facility and Property at least once before and intends on revisiting the Facility and Property once the Facility and Property are

made accessible.

14. Plaintiff intends to revisit the Facility and Property to purchase goods and/or services.

15. Plaintiff travelled to the Facility and Property as a customer and as an advocate for the disabled, encountered the barriers to his access of the Facility and Property that are detailed in this Complaint, engaged those barriers, suffered legal harm and legal injury, and will continue to suffer such harm and injury as a result of the illegal barriers to access present at the Facility and Property.

**COUNT I**  
**VIOLATIONS OF THE ADA AND ADAAG**

16. On July 26, 1990, Congress enacted the Americans with Disabilities Act 42 U.S.C. § 12101 *et seq.* (the “ADA”).

17. The ADA provided places of public accommodation one and a half years from its enactment to implement its requirements.

18. The effective date of Title III of the ADA was January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993 (if a defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). 42 U.S.C. § 12181; 28 C.F.R. § 36.508(a).

19. The Facility is a public accommodation and service establishment.

20. The Property is a public accommodation and service establishment.

21. Pursuant to the mandates of 42 U.S.C. § 12134(a), on July 26, 1991,

the Department of Justice and Office of Attorney General promulgated federal regulations to implement the requirements of the ADA. 28 C.F.R. Part 36.

22. Public accommodations were required to conform to these regulations by January 26, 1992 (or by January 26, 1993 if a defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.*; 28 C.F.R. § 36.508(a).

23. Liability for violations under Title III or the ADA falls on “any person who owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public accommodation.” 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

24. The Facility must be, but is not, in compliance with the ADA and ADAAG.

25. The Property must be, but is not, in compliance with the ADA and ADAAG.

26. Upon information and good faith belief, the Facility has been subject to qualifying alterations that were initiated after March 15, 2012. *See* 28 C.F.R. § 36.402.

27. Plaintiff has attempted to, and has to the extent possible, accessed the Facility and the Property in his capacity as a customer of the Facility and Property, and as an advocate for the disabled, but could not fully do so because of his

disabilities resulting from the physical barriers to access, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the Facility and Property that preclude and/or limit his access to the Facility and Property and/or the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein, including those barriers, conditions and ADA violations more specifically set forth in this Complaint.

28. Plaintiff intends to visit the Facility and Property again in the very near future as a customer and as an advocate for the disabled in order to utilize all of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations commonly offered at the Facility and Property, but will be unable to fully do so because of his disability and the physical barriers to access, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the Facility and Property that preclude and/or limit his access to the Facility and Property and/or the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein, including those barriers, conditions and ADA violations more specifically set forth in this Complaint.

29. Defendant has discriminated against Plaintiff (and others with disabilities) by denying his access to, and full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of the Facility and Property, as prohibited by, and by failing to remove architectural barriers as

required by, 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).

30. Defendant will continue to discriminate against Plaintiff and others with disabilities unless and until Defendant is compelled to remove all physical barriers that exist at the Facility and Property, including those specifically set forth herein, and make the Facility and Property accessible to and usable by Plaintiff and other persons with disabilities.

31. A specific list of unlawful physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations which Plaintiff experienced and/or observed that precluded and/or limited Plaintiff's access to the Facility and Property and the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and accommodations of the Facility and Property include, but are not limited to:

**(a) EXTERIOR ELEMENTS:**

- (i) The total number of accessible parking spaces on the Property is inadequate, in violation of section 208.2 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- (ii) The accessible parking space on the Property is missing an identification sign, in violation of section 502.6 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- (iii) The accessible parking space on the Property does not have an

adjacent access aisle, in violation of section 502.3 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.

- (iv) Further, exiting a vehicle from the existing accessible parking space into a wheelchair is not possible due to the close proximity of a pole to the driver's side of the space, in violation of section 502.4 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- (v) The Property has exterior fixtures and publicly accessible features (specifically, an Ice Chest and Propane Tank Storage Unit) that lack permissible clear floor space before them, in violation of section 305.3 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- (vi) The exterior of the Property does not provide for a minimum of 5% (five percent) of the dining surfaces utilized for consumption of food or drink that comply with section 902.2 of the 2010 ADAAG standards, requiring appropriate knee and toe clearance thereunder as set forth in section 306 of the 2010 ADAAG standards, positioned for a forward approach, in violation of section 226.1 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.

**(b) INTERIOR ELEMENTS:**

- (i) The Facility lacks restrooms signage that complies with

- sections 216.8 and 703 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- (ii) The door to the restroom in the Facility does not provide for permissible minimum maneuvering clearance, in violation of section 404.2.4 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
  - (iii) The sink in the restroom in the Facility does not provide for adequate knee and toe clearance thereunder, in violation of section 606.2 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
  - (iv) The grab bars adjacent to the commodes in the restrooms in the Facility fail to comply with sections 604.5 and 609.4 of the 2010 ADAAG standards, as both garb bars are of inadequate length.
  - (v) The hand operated flush control on the commode in the restroom in the Facility is not located on the open side of the restroom, in violation of section 604.6 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
  - (vi) The mirror in the restroom in the Facility exceeds the maximum permissible height set forth in section 603.3 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
32. Without limitation, the above-described violations of the ADAAG

rendered the sole accessible parking space on the Property inaccessible to Plaintiff.

33. The violations enumerated above may not be a complete list of the barriers, conditions or violations encountered by Plaintiff and/or which exist at the Facility and Property.

34. Plaintiff requires an inspection of Facility and Property in order to determine all of the discriminatory conditions present at the Facility and Property in violation of the ADA.

35. The removal of the physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations alleged herein is readily achievable and can be accomplished and carried out without significant difficulty or expense. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv); 42 U.S.C. § 12181(9); 28 C.F.R. § 36.304.

36. All of the violations alleged herein are readily achievable to modify to bring the Facility and Property into compliance with the ADA.

37. Upon information and good faith belief, the removal of the physical barriers and dangerous conditions present at the Facility and Property is readily achievable because the nature and cost of the modifications are relatively low.

38. Upon information and good faith belief, the removal of the physical barriers and dangerous conditions present at the Facility and Property is readily achievable because Defendant has the financial resources to make the necessary

modifications.

39. In instances where the 2010 ADAAG standards do not apply, the 1991 ADAAG standards apply, and all of the alleged violations set forth herein can be modified to comply with the 1991 ADAAG standards.

40. Plaintiff is without adequate remedy at law, is suffering irreparable harm, and reasonably anticipates that he will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless and until Defendant is required to remove the physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the Facility and Property, including those alleged herein.

41. Plaintiff's requested relief serves the public interest.

42. The benefit to Plaintiff and the public of the relief outweighs any resulting detriment to Defendant.

43. Plaintiff's counsel is entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs of litigation from Defendant pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 12188 and 12205.

44. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a), this Court is provided authority to grant injunctive relief to Plaintiff, including the issuance of an Order directing Defendant to modify the Facility and Property to the extent required by the ADA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays as follows:

(a) That the Court find Defendant in violation of the ADA and ADAAG;

- (b) That the Court issue a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant from continuing its discriminatory practices;
- (c) That the Court issue an Order requiring Defendant to (i) remove the physical barriers to access and (ii) alter the subject Facility and Property to make them readily accessible to, and useable by, individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA;
- (d) That the Court award Plaintiff's counsel reasonable attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs; and
- (e) That the Court grant such further relief as deemed just and equitable in light of the circumstances.

Dated: June 15, 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Craig J. Ehrlich  
Craig J. Ehrlich  
Georgia Bar No. 242240  
The Law Office of Craig J. Ehrlich, LLC  
1123 Zonolite Road, N.E., Suite 7-B  
Atlanta, Georgia 30306  
Tel: (800) 238-3857  
Fax: (855) 415-2480  
[craig@ehrlichlawoffice.com](mailto:craig@ehrlichlawoffice.com)

**CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL RULE 5.1**

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing document has been

prepared in accordance with the font type and margin requirements of Local Rule 5.1 of the Northern District of Georgia, using a font type of Times New Roman and a point size of 14.

/s/Craig J. Ehrlich  
Craig J. Ehrlich